Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Julolidine-1,6-dione

Wei-Bing Wu, Ming-Liang Wang,* Heng-Yun Ye and Yue-Ming Sun

Ordered Matter Science Research Center, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Southeast University, Nanjing 211189, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: wangmlchem@263.net

Received 10 October 2007; accepted 7 November 2007

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.061; wR factor = 0.163; data-to-parameter ratio = 16.0.

The title molecule, $C_{12}H_{11}NO_2$, has crystallographic mirror symmetry. Weak intermolecular C-H···O hydrogen bonds help stabilize the crystal structure.

Related literature

For related literature, see: Johnson et al. (1949); Domenicano et al. (1975); Allen et al. (1987).



Experimental

Crystal data C12H11NO2 $M_r = 201.22$ Orthorhombic, Pnma a = 10.069 (2) Å b = 14.081 (3) Å c = 6.9452 (14) Å

V = 984.7 (3) Å³ Z = 4Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^-$ T = 293 (2) K $0.15 \times 0.15 \times 0.15$ mm

Data collection

Rigaku Mercury2 diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrystalClear; Rigaku, 2005) $T_{\min} = 0.720, \ T_{\max} = 1.00$ (expected range = 0.710-0.986)

9450 measured reflections 1170 independent reflections 767 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.086$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.061$	73 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.163$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.10	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.15 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
1170 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.19 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$C2-H2A\cdotsO1^{i}$	0.93	2.50	3.372 (3)	157
$26 - H6A \cdots O1^{n}$	0.97	2.52	3.377 (3)	147

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y, z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y, z - \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: CrystalClear (Rigaku, 2005); cell refinement: CrystalClear; data reduction: CrystalClear; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

The authors are grateful for the financial support from the Southeast University Foundation (No. 9207041358).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: LH2533).

References

Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1-19.

Domenicano, A., Vaciago, A. & Coulson, C. A. (1975). Acta Cryst. B31, 221-234.

Rigaku (2005). CrystalClear. Version 1.4.0. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan. Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1999). SHELXTL/PC. Version 5.1. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Johnson, W. S., Woroch, E. L. & Buell, B. G. (1949). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 71, 1901-1905.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2007). E63, 04699 [doi:10.1107/S1600536807056802]

Julolidine-1,6-dione

W.-B. Wu, M.-L. Wang, H.-Y. Ye and Y.-M. Sun

Comment

The title compound, belongs to a class of 4-dihydroquinolinone derivatives (William, *et al.*,1949), which have hitherto received relatively little attention. We have recently found that the title compound undergoes two-photon absorption and two-photon excited fluorescence and is therefore of interest in the study of non-linear optics. An X-ray crystal structure determination of was undertaken in order to elucidate the conformation, and the results are presented here.

The bond lengths observed in the phenyl rings is comparable with average values reported in the literature (Domenicano *et al.*,1975; Allen *et al.*, 1987). Atom C7 deviates by 0.493 (2) Å from the benzene ring. The mean plane through atoms O1/C5/C6 is twisted from the plane of the benzene ring by 7.5 (2) °, while in acetophenone the acetyl group is essentially co-planar with benzene ring. This twist is most likely due to the strain imposed by sp^3 -hybridized atoms C6 and C7 in the adjacent ring. The N atom lies approximately in the plane of the benzene ring with a deviation of 0.023 (2) Å, as might be expected for maximum conjugation normally found for N atom substituents on benzene rings. Weak intermolecular C—H…O hydrogen bonds help stabilize the crystal structure.

Experimental

Melting points were determined with a Yanagimoto MP-35 melting-point apparatus and were uncorrected. The ¹H NMR spectra were measured with a Bruker DRX (500 MHz) (relative to TMS) spectrometer. The solid state IR spectra were recorded from KBr discs on a Nicolet-170.

A mixture of N, *N*-bis-2-cyanoethylanilne (10 g, 0.055 mol), powdered aluminium chloride (55 g, 0.422 mol), 30 ml chlorobenzeneand 1.5 ml concentrated hydrochloric acid was vigorously stirred at 433 K for 8 h. After cooling, the red oily mixture was hydrolysed with 200 ml ice water, and distilled in steam to remove chlorobenzene. The residue was recrystallized from ethanol to afford a yellow solid. Yield: 8.5 g (85%); m. p. 415 – 416 K. IR (KBr): v = 1676 cm⁻¹ (C=O). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.83–2.86 (t, 4H, 2CH₂, J = 7.0 Hz), 3.49–3.52 (t, 4H, 2CH₂, J = 7.0 Hz), 6.86–6.89 (t, 1H, ArH, J = 7.5 Hz), 8.11–8.12 (d, 2H, ArH, J = 8.0 Hz). Single crystals suitable for crystallographic analysis were obtained by slow evaporation of a methanol water (4:1 v/v)solution.

Refinement

H atoms were placed in calculated positions with C—H = 0.93–0.97Å and included in the refinement in the riding-model approximation with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$.

Figures



Fig. 1. The molecular structure with displacement ellipsoids were drawn at the 30% probability level [symmetry code: (A) x, -y + 1/2, z].

julolidine-1,6-dione

Crystal data	
C ₁₂ H ₁₁ NO ₂	$F_{000} = 424$
$M_r = 201.22$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.357 {\rm ~Mg~m}^{-3}$
Orthorhombic, Pnma	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Hall symbol: -P 2ac 2n	Cell parameters from 7909 reflections
a = 10.069 (2) Å	$\theta = 3.3 - 28.9^{\circ}$
b = 14.081 (3) Å	$\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 6.9452 (14) Å	T = 293 (2) K
$V = 984.7 (3) \text{ Å}^3$	Block, colorless
Z = 4	$0.15 \times 0.15 \times 0.15$ mm

Data collection

Rigaku Mercury2 diffractometer	767 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	$R_{\rm int} = 0.086$
Monochromator: graphite	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^{\circ}$
T = 293(2) K	$\theta_{\min} = 3.3^{\circ}$
ω scans	$h = -13 \rightarrow 13$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrystalClear; Rigaku, 2005)	$k = -18 \rightarrow 18$
$T_{\min} = 0.720, \ T_{\max} = 1.00$	$l = -9 \rightarrow 9$
9450 measured reflections	Standard reflections: ?
1170 independent reflections	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Secondary aLeast-squares matrix: fullHydrogen si
sites $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.061$ H-atom para $wR(F^2) = 0.163$ $w = 1/[\sigma^2(R + P)]$

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.076P)^2 + 0.0053P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

<i>S</i> = 1.10	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$
1170 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.15 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
73 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.19 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Deinsens stern site 1. setiens starstens incoming diment	

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct Extinction correction: none methods

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F² against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F², conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on F, with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2$ sigma(F^2) is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F² are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

vactional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	У	Z		$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$	
C1	0.2702 (3)	0.2500	1	.2858 (4)	0.0675 (9)	
H1A	0.2326	0.2500	1	.4080	0.081*	
C2	0.2983 (2)	0.16584	(14) 1	.1947 (3)	0.0578 (6)	
H2A	0.2760	0.1089	1	.2545	0.069*	
C3	0.35918 (18)	0.16362	(13) 1	.0158 (2)	0.0469 (5)	
C4	0.3938 (2)	0.2500	0	.9238 (3)	0.0423 (6)	
C5	0.37694 (18)	0.07268	(15) 0	.9155 (3)	0.0529 (5)	
C6	0.4347 (2)	0.07766	(16) 0	.7178 (3)	0.0643 (6)	
H6A	0.3633	0.0809	0	.6242	0.077*	
H6B	0.4852	0.0203	0	.6928	0.077*	
C7	0.5239 (2)	0.16269	(14) 0	.6933 (3)	0.0613 (6)	
H7A	0.6025	0.1548	0	.7725	0.074*	
H7B	0.5521	0.1670	0	.5601	0.074*	
O1	0.34091 (15)	-0.0023	7 (11) 0	.9865 (2)	0.0714 (5)	
N1	0.4561 (2)	0.2500	0	.7476 (3)	0.0506 (6)	
Atomic displ	acement parameters	$(Å^2)$				
	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C1	0.090 (2)	0.071 (2)	0.0412 (15) 0.000	0.0151 (14)	0.000
C2	0.0705 (13)	0.0577 (13)	0.0450 (11)) -0.0091	(10) 0.0034 (9)	0.0066 (9)
C3	0.0479 (10)	0.0494 (12)	0.0435 (11) -0.0025	(7) $-0.0032(7)$	-0.0006 (8)
C4	0.0389 (12)	0.0524 (16)	0.0356 (12) 0.000	-0.0048 (10)	0.000
C5	0.0513 (11)	0.0526 (12)	0.0548 (12) -0.0049	(9) -0.0075 (9)	-0.0041 (9)
C6	0.0735 (14)	0.0618 (14)	0.0576 (12) 0.0065 (1	-0.0012 (10)	-0.0175 (9)
C7	0.0589 (12)	0.0700 (16)	0.0549 (12) 0.0059 (1	0.0125 (9)	-0.0053 (9)
O1	0.0794 (11)	0.0532 (10)	0.0815 (11) -0.0125	(7) 0.0014 (7)	-0.0043 (7)

supplementary materials

N1	0.0511 (12)	0.0577 (15)	0.0429 (12)	0.000	0.0085 (9)	0.000
Geometric param	neters (Å, °)					
C1—C2 ⁱ		1.373 (2)	C5-	01		1.221 (2)
C1—C2		1.373 (2)	C5-	—С6		1.493 (3)
C1—H1A		0.9300	C6-	—С7		1.506 (3)
C2—C3		1.386 (3)	C6-	-H6A		0.9700
C2—H2A		0.9300	C6-	—Н6В		0.9700
C3—C4		1.417 (2)	C7-	N1		1.456 (2)
C3—C5		1.469 (3)	C7-	—H7A		0.9700
C4—N1		1.376 (3)	C7-	—H7B		0.9700
C4—C3 ⁱ		1.417 (2)	N1-	–C7 ⁱ		1.456 (2)
C2 ⁱ —C1—C2		119.4 (2)	С3-	C5C6		116.30 (18)
C2 ⁱ —C1—H1A		120.3	C5-	C6C7		111.92 (16)
C2—C1—H1A		120.3	C5-	—С6—Н6А		109.2
C1—C2—C3		121.6 (2)	C7-	—С6—Н6А		109.2
C1—C2—H2A		119.2	C5-	—С6—Н6В		109.2
С3—С2—Н2А		119.2	C7-	—С6—Н6В		109.2
C2—C3—C4		119.56 (18)	H6A	А—С6—Н6В		107.9
C2—C3—C5		119.94 (18)	N1-	—С7—С6		111.27 (17)
C4—C3—C5		120.29 (16)	N1-	—С7—Н7А		109.4
N1—C4—C3 ⁱ		120.87 (11)	C6-	—С7—Н7А		109.4
N1—C4—C3		120.87 (11)	N1-	—С7—Н7В		109.4
C3 ⁱ —C4—C3		118.2 (2)	C6-	—С7—Н7В		109.4
O1—C5—C3		121.77 (18)	H74	А—С7—Н7В		108.0
O1—C5—C6		121.85 (19)				

Symmetry codes: (i) x, -y+1/2, z.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· A		
C2—H2A···O1 ⁱⁱ	0.93	2.50	3.372 (3)	157		
C6—H6A···O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.97	2.52	3.377 (3)	147		
Symmetry codes: (ii) $-x+1/2$, $-y$, $z+1/2$; (iii) $-x+1/2$, $-y$, $z-1/2$.						



Fig. 1